

## OPTIMIZATION OF ELLIPTIC TYPE DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS AND DUALITY

E. N. MAHMUDOV<sup>1</sup> §

ABSTRACT. This paper deals with the Dirichlet problem for convex differential ( $P_C$ ) inclusions of elliptic type. On the basis of conjugacy correspondence the dual problems are constructed. Using the new concepts of locally adjoint mappings in the form of Euler-Lagrange type inclusion is established extremal relations for primary and dual problems. Then duality problems are formulated for convex problems and duality theorems are proven. The results obtained are generalized to the multidimensional case with a second order elliptic operator.

Keywords: Differential inclusion, Dirichlet, locally adjoint, sufficient conditions, duality.

AMS Classification: 49K20, 49K24, 35JXX

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The present paper is devoted to an optimal control problems described by so-called differential inclusions of elliptic type. A lot of problems in economic dynamics, as well as classical problems on optimal control in vibrations, chemical engineering, heat, diffusion processes, differential games, and so on, can be reduced to such investigations with ordinary and partial differential inclusions [1-15]. We refer the reader to the survey papers [11], [16-20]. The present paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2 first are given some suitable definitions, supplementary notions and results considered by author in [18-19]. Then a certain extremal Dirichlet's problem is formulated for so-called elliptic differential ( $P_C$ ) inclusions with Laplace's operator and with second order elliptic operator in the multidimensional case. In the reviewed results for optimality the arisen adjoint inclusions using the locally adjoint multivalued ( $LAM$ ) functions are stated in the Euler-Lagrange form [9, 18, 19]. It turn out that such form of optimality conditions automatically implies the Weierstrass-Pontryagin maximum condition. Apparently it happens because the  $LAM$  is more applicable apparat in different type of problems governed by differential inclusions [16-20].

In section 3 the main problem is to formulate and study the dual problems to the stated problems with convex structures. Convexity is a crucial marker in classifying optimization problems, and it's often accompanied by interesting phenomena of duality. It is well known that duality theory by virtue of its applications is one of the central directions in convex optimality problems. In mathematical economics duality theory is interpreted in the form of prices, in mechanics the potential energy and complementary energy are in a mutually

---

<sup>1</sup> Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Management, Istanbul Technical University Macka 34367, Istanbul, Turkey,  
e-mail: elimhan22@yahoo.com

§ Manuscript received 07 October 2011.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics Vol.2 No.1 © Işık University, Department of Mathematics 2012; all rights reserved.

dual relation, the displacement field and the stress field are solutions of the direct and the dual problems, respectively.

To establish the dual problem we use the duality theorems of operations of addition and infimal convolution of convex functions. Here a remarkable specific feature of second order elliptic partial differential inclusions in comparisons with ordinary ones is that it admit valuable results in the case of multidimensional domain. Our approach to establish duality theory for continuous problem is based on the passage to the formal limit from duality problem in approximating problem. But to avoid difficult and fatiguing calculations we omit it and announce only dual problem constructed for continuous problems ( $P_C$ ) and then ( $P_M$ ). Consequently, construction of duality problem in our paper is an unforeseen part of the "iceberg". Further it is shown that direct and duality problems are connected to each other by the duality relations. The proved duality theorems allow one to conclude that a sufficient condition for an extremum is an extremal relation for the primary and dual problems. It means that if some pair of feasible solutions  $(u(\cdot), u^*(\cdot))$  satisfy this relation, then  $u(\cdot)$  and  $u^*(\cdot)$  are solutions of the primary and dual problem, respectively. We note that a considerable part of the investigations of Ekeland and Temam [7] for simple variational problem is devoted to such problems. Besides there are similar results for ordinary differential inclusions in [17-19]. Some duality relations and optimality conditions for an extremum of different control problems with partial differential inclusions can be found in [18-19]. At the end of Section 3 we consider a linear optimal control problem of elliptic type.

Furthermore, observe that in elliptic differential inclusions for simplicity of the exposition the solution is taken in the space of classical solutions. Apparently, by passing to more general function spaces of generalized solutions the most natural approach for elliptic differential inclusions is the use of single-valued selections of a multi-valued mapping [1, 3, 8, 9].

## 2. NECESSARY CONCEPTS AND PROBLEMS STATEMENTS

Throughout this section and the next sections we use special notation conventional in the [18-19]. Let  $\mathbb{R}^n$  be the n-dimensional Euclidian space,  $(u_1, u_2)$  is a pair of elements  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$  is their inner product. A multivalued mapping  $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $P(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denotes the family of all subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) is convex if its graph  $gph F = \{(u, v) : v \in F(u)\}$  is a convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . It is convex-valued if  $F(u)$  is a convex set for each  $u \in dom F = \{u : F(u) \neq \emptyset\}$ . Let us introduce the notations:

$$M(u, v^*) = \sup_v \{\langle v, v^* \rangle : v \in F(u)\},$$

$$F(u, v^*) = \{v \in F(u) : \langle v, v^* \rangle = M(u, v^*)\}, v^* \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For convex  $F$  we let  $M(u, v^*) = -\infty$  if  $F(u) = \emptyset$ . Obviously the function  $M$  and the sets  $F(u, v^*)$  can be interpreted as Hamiltonian function and argmaximum sets, respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** For a convex mapping  $F$  a multivalued mapping from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defined by  $F^*(v^*, (u, v)) = \{u^* : (u^*, -v^*) \in K_{gph F}^*(u, v)\}$  is called the locally adjoint mapping (LAM) to  $F$  at the point  $(u, v) \in gph F$ , where  $K_{gph F}^*(u, v)$  is the dual to the basic cone  $K_{gph F}(u, v)$ .

We refer to [1, 6, 8, 9] for various definitions in this direction.

It is clear that for a convex  $F$  the Hamiltonian is concave on  $u$  and convex on  $v^*$  function. Let us denote

$$H(u^*, v^*) = \inf \{ \langle u, u^* \rangle - \langle v, v^* \rangle : (u, v) \in \text{gph } F \}.$$

It is clear that by the conjugacy correspondence of convex analysis [4],[6]-[9]:

$$H(u^*, v^*) = \inf_u \{ \langle u, u^* \rangle - M(u, v^*) \} = -(-M(\cdot, v^*))^*(-u^*).$$

**Corollary 2.1.** *The inclusion  $u^* \in F^*(v^*, (u, v))$  and equality  $H(u^*, v^*) = \langle u, u^* \rangle - M(u, v^*)$  are equivalent.*

In section 3 we study the following problem for elliptic differential inclusion with homogeneous boundary value conditions:

$$\text{minimize } J(u(\cdot)) := \iint_{\mathbb{R}} g(u(x), x) dx$$

$$\text{subject to } \Delta u(x) \in F(u(x), x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (1)$$

$$\text{and } u(x) = 0, \quad x \in B, \quad (2)$$

where  $\Delta$  is a Laplace's operator,  $F(\cdot, x) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is multivalued mapping for all  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  in the bounded region  $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^1$ , a closed piecewise-smooth simple curve  $B$  is its boundary,  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  is a continuous convex function on  $u$  and  $dx = dx_1 dx_2$ . We label this continuous problem ( $P_C$ ) and call it Dirichlet problem for elliptic differential inclusions. The problem is to find a solution  $\tilde{u}(x)$  of the boundary value problem (1), (2) that minimizes the cost functional  $J(u(\cdot))$ . Here, a feasible solution is understood to be a classical solution for simplicity of the exposition.

The subject of the research in Section 3 in the following multidimensional optimal control problem ( $P_M$ ) for elliptic differential inclusions:

$$\text{minimize } J(u(\cdot)) := \int_G g(u(x), x) dx,$$

$$\text{subject to } L(u(x)) \in F(u(x), x), \quad x \in G, \quad (3)$$

$$\text{and } u(x) = 0, \quad x \in S, \quad (4)$$

where  $F(\cdot, x) : \mathbb{R}^1 \rightarrow P(\mathbb{R}^1)$  is a convex closed multivalued mapping for all  $n$ -dimensional vectors  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in the bounded set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , a closed piecewise-smooth surface  $S$  is its boundary,  $g : \mathbb{R}^1 \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  is a continuous and convex on  $u$  function,  $dx = dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n$ .  $L$  is a second-order elliptic operator:

$$Lu := \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x)u, \quad a_{ij}(x) \in C^1(\bar{G}), \quad b_i(x) \in C^1(\bar{G}), \quad c(x) \in C(\bar{G})$$

where  $\|a_{ij}(x)\|$  is a positively definite matrix,  $\tilde{u}(x)$  and  $C^1(\bar{G})$  are the spaces of continuous functions and functions having a continuous derivative in  $G$ , respectively.

A function  $u(x)$  in  $C^2(G) \cap C(\bar{G})$ , that satisfies the inclusion (3) in  $G$  and the boundary condition (4) on  $S$  we call a classical solution of the problem posed, where  $C^2(G)$  is the space of functions  $u(x)$  having continuous all second-order derivatives. It is required to find a classical solution  $\tilde{u}(x)$  of the boundary value problem ( $P_M$ ) that minimizes the cost functional  $J(u(\cdot))$ .

In the next theorem is referred sufficient conditions for optimality for problems ( $P_C$ ) and ( $P_M$ ) of Mahmudov [18].

**Theorem 2.1** Assume that a continuous function  $g$  is convex with respect to  $u$ , and  $F(\cdot, x)$  is a convex mapping for all fixed  $x$ . Then for the optimality of the solution  $\tilde{u}(x)$  among all feasible solutions in convex problem  $(P_C)$  it is sufficient that there exist a classical solution  $u^*(x)$  such that the following condition:

- (a)  $\Delta u^*(x) \in F^*(u^*(x), (\tilde{u}(x), \Delta \tilde{u}(x)), x) - \partial g(\tilde{u}(x), x)$ ,  $u^*(x) = 0$ ,  $x \in B$ ,
- (b)  $\Delta \tilde{u}(x) \in F(\tilde{u}(x), u^*(x), x)$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

For a problem  $(P_M)$  the Euler-Lagrange type inclusion (a) and argmaximum condition (b) consist of the following conditions, respectively:

- (i)  $L^* u^*(x) \in F^*(u^*(x), (\tilde{u}(x), L\tilde{u}(x)), x) - \partial g(\tilde{u}(x), x)$ ,
- (ii)  $L\tilde{u}(x) \in F(\tilde{u}(x), u^*(x), x)$ ,  $u^*(x) = 0$ ,  $x \in S$

where  $L^*$  is the operator adjoint to  $L$ .

**3. On duality in elliptic differential inclusions.** According to the definition in [4,8,9,18,19]. Let us denote

$$J_*(u^*(x), z^*(x)) := \iint_{\mathbb{R}} [H(\Delta u^*(x) + z^*(x), u^*(x), x) - g^*(z^*(x), x)] dx$$

where  $H$  is a Hamiltonian function and  $g^*(z^*, x)$  is conjugate function to function  $g(\cdot, x)$  for every fixed  $x \in R^1 \times R^1$ . Then the problem of determining the maximum

$$(P_D) \quad \underset{\substack{u^*(x), z^*(x), x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u^*(x) = 0, x \in B}}{\text{maximize}} \quad J_*(u^*(x), z^*(x)),$$

is called the dual problem to the primary convex problem  $(P_C)$ . It is assumed that  $u^*(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \cap C(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $z^*(x) \in C(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Theorem 3.1** Assume that  $u(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is an arbitrarily feasible solution of the primary problem  $(P_C)$  and  $\{u^*(x), z^*(x)\}$  is a feasible solution of the dual problem  $(P_D)$ . Then the inequality  $J(u(x)) \geq J_*(u^*(x))$  is valid.

**Proof .** It is clear from the definitions of the functions  $H$  and  $g^*$  that the following inequalities hold :

$$\begin{aligned} H(\Delta u^*(x) + z^*(x), u^*(x), x) &\leq \langle \Delta u^*(x) + z^*(x), u(x) \rangle - \langle u^*(x), \Delta u(x) \rangle, \\ g^*(z^*(x), x) &\geq \langle z^*(x), u(x) \rangle - g(u(x), x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore;

$$\begin{aligned} H(\Delta u^*(x) + z^*(x), u^*(x), x) - g^*(z^*(x), x) & \qquad \qquad \qquad (5) \\ &\leq \langle \Delta u^*(x), u(x) \rangle - \langle u^*(x), \Delta u(x) \rangle + g(u(x), x). \end{aligned}$$

Then since  $u^*(x) = 0$ ,  $u(x) = 0, x \in B$ , by the familiar Green theorem [21] we have

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}} [\langle \Delta u^*(x), u(x) \rangle - \langle u^*(x), \Delta u(x) \rangle] dx = \int_B \left[ \left\langle \frac{\partial u^*(x)}{\partial n}, u(x) \right\rangle - \left\langle u^*(x), \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial n} \right\rangle \right] ds = 0 \tag{6}$$

where  $n$  is outer normal for a curve  $B$ . Then integrating both sides of the inequality (5) due to (6) we obtain the required inequality.

**Theorem 3.2** If the feasible solutions  $\tilde{u}(x)$  and  $\{u^*(x), z^*(x)\}$ ,  $z^*(x) \in \partial g(\tilde{u}(x), x)$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.1, then they are optimal solutions of the primary ( $P_C$ ) and dual ( $P_D$ ) problems, respectively, and the values of the cost functionals are equal.

**Proof.** To proceed, first note that by Theorem 2.1  $\tilde{u}(x)$  is a solution of the primary problem ( $P_C$ ). We need to prove that the pair  $\{u^*(x), z^*(x)\}$  is a solution to problem ( $P_D$ ). By Definition 2.1 of a LAM, the condition (a) of the Theorem 2.1 is equivalent to the inequality  $\langle \Delta u^*(x) + z^*(x), u - \tilde{u}(x) \rangle - \langle u^*(x), v - \Delta \tilde{u}(x) \rangle \geq 0$ ,  $(u, v) \in \text{gph}F(\cdot, \cdot, x)$ .

The latter yields

$$(\Delta u^*(x) + z^*(x), u^*(x)) \in \text{dom}H(\cdot, \cdot, x), \quad (7)$$

where  $\text{dom}H(\cdot, \cdot, x) := \{(u^*, v^*) : H(u^*, v^*, x) > -\infty\}$ . Further, since [4,6,8,9]  $\partial g(u, x) \subset \text{dom}g^*(\cdot, x)$  it is clear that

$$z^*(x) \in \text{dom}g^*(\cdot, x) \quad (8)$$

Consequently, it can be concluded from (7), (8) that the indicated pair of functions  $\{u^*(x), z^*(x)\}$  is a feasible solutions, i.e. the set of feasible solutions to ( $P_D$ ) is nonempty. Let us now justify the optimality of the solution  $\{u^*(x), z^*(x)\}$  to problem ( $P_D$ ). By the Corollary 2.1  $F^*(v^*, (u, v), x) = \{u^* : H(u^*, v^*, x) = \langle u, u^* \rangle - M(u, v^*, x)\}$ . Using this formula and the condition (a) of the Theorem 2.1 we get

$$H(\Delta u^*(x) + z^*(x), u^*(x), x) = \langle \tilde{u}(x), \Delta u^*(x) + z^*(x) \rangle - M(\tilde{u}(x), u^*(x), x).$$

Now based on the condition (b) of Theorem 2.1 we have the following equality  $\langle \Delta \tilde{u}(x), u^*(x) \rangle = M(\tilde{u}(x), u^*(x), x)$ . Thus

$$H(\Delta u^*(x) + z^*(x), u^*(x), x) = \langle \tilde{u}(x), \Delta u^*(x) + z^*(x) \rangle - \langle \Delta \tilde{u}(x), u^*(x) \rangle. \quad (9)$$

On the other hand the inclusion  $z^*(x) \in \partial g(\tilde{u}(x), x)$  is equivalent with the equality

$$g^*(z^*(x), x) = \langle \tilde{u}(x), z^*(x) \rangle - g(\tilde{u}(x), x). \quad (10)$$

Then in view of (8)-(10) as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 it is not hard to show that  $J(\tilde{u}(x)) = J_*(u^*(x), z^*(x))$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

Now let us formulate the dual problem to the convex problem ( $P_M$ ) with homogeneous boundary conditions. In this case the duality problem consists in the following

$$(P_{MD}) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{maximize} \\ u^*(x), z^*(x), x \in G, \\ u^*(x) = 0, x \in S \end{array} \quad J_*(u^*(x), z^*(x)),$$

Here

$$J_*(u^*(x), z^*(x)) = \int_G [H(L^*u^*(x) + z^*(x), u^*(x), x) - g^*(z^*(x), x)] dx$$

$$u^*(x) \in C^2(G) \cap C(\bar{G}), \quad z^*(x) \in C(G), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Now by replacing the Laplace operator  $\Delta$  with the second order elliptic operator  $L$  and using the idea suggested in the proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 it is easy to get the following theorem.


**Theorem 3.3** If  $\tilde{u}(x)$  and pair of functions  $\{u^*(x), z^*(x)\}$ , are feasible solutions to the primary convex problem ( $P_M$ ) with homogeneous boundary value conditions and dual problem ( $P_{MD}$ ), respectively, then  $J(\tilde{u}(x)) \geq J_*(u^*(x), z^*(x))$ . In addition, if the assertions (i), (ii) for sufficiency of optimality are valid here and  $z^*(x) \in \partial g(\tilde{u}(x), x)$ , then the values of the cost functionals are equal and  $\{u^*(x), z^*(x)\}$  is solution of the dual problem ( $P_{MD}$ ).

Let us consider the following example:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize } J(u(x)) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}} g(u(x), x) dx, \\ & \text{subject to } \Delta u(x) = Au(x) + Bw(x), w(x) \in V \end{aligned}$$

where  $A$  is  $n \times n$  matrix,  $B$  is a rectangular  $n \times r$  matrix,  $V \subset R^r$  is a closed convex set and  $g$  is continuously differentiable function on  $u$ . It is required to find a controlling parameter  $w(x) \in V$  such that the feasible solution corresponding to it minimizes  $J(u(\cdot))$ .

Let us introduce a convex mapping  $F(u) = Au + BV$ . By elementary calculations, it can be shown, that



$$\begin{aligned} H(u^*, v^*) &= \inf_{u, w} \{ \langle u, u^* \rangle - \langle Au + Bw, v^* \rangle : w \in V \} \\ &= \begin{cases} -M_V(B^*v^*), & u^* = A^*v^*, \\ -\infty, & u^* \neq A^*v^* \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where  $M_V(B^*w^*) = \sup_{w \in V} \langle w, B^*w^* \rangle$ .

Then obviously the duality problem for primary problem( $P_{LD}$ ) has a form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize } J_*(u^*(x), z^*(x)), \\ & \Delta u^*(x) + z^*(x) = A^*u^*(x), x \in R, \\ & u^*(x) = 0, x \in B \end{aligned}$$

where  $J_*(u^*(x), z^*(x)) = - \iint_{\mathbb{R}} [M_V(B^*u^*(x) + g^*(z^*(x), x))] dx$ .

REFERENCES

- [1] Kurzhanski, A., (1993), Set-valued Analysis and Differential Inclusions, Control Theory.
- [2] Lions, J-L., (1991), Optimal Control of Systems Governed by Partial Differential Equations, Springer, Berlin.
- [3] Aubin, J. P. and Cellina, A., (1984), Differential Inclusion, Springer-Verlag, Grudlehnender Math., Wiss.
- [4] Rockafellar, R. T., (1972), Convex Analysis, Second Edition, Princeton University, New Jersey.
- [5] Makarov, V. L. and Rubinov, A. M., (1977), The Mathematical Theory of Economic Dynamics and Equilibrium, Nauka, Moscow; (1973), English transl., Springer-Verlay, Berlin.
- [6] Clarke, F. H., (1983), Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis, John Wiley, New York.
- [7] Ekeland, I. and Teman, R., (1972), Analyse Convexe et Problems Variationelles, Dunod and Gauthier Villars, Paris.
- [8] Ioffe, A. D. and Tikhomirov, V. M., (1974), Theory of Extremal Problems, Nauka, Moscow, (in Russian); (1974), English transl., North-Holland, Amsterdam.

- [9] Mordukhovich, B. S., (1988), *Approximation Methods in Problems of Optimization and Control*, Nauka, Moscow; revised English transl. to appear, Wiley-Interscience.
  - [10] Pshenichnyi, B. N., (1980), *Convex Analysis and Extremal Problems*, Nauka, Moscow, (in Russian).
  - [11] Agarwal, R. P., and O'Regan, D., (2002), Fixed-point theory for weakly sequentially upper-semicontinuous maps with applications to differential inclusions, *Nonlinear Oscillations*, 5 (3), 277-286.
  - [12] Vinter, R., (2000), *Optimal Control*, Birkhauser Boston.
  - [13] Wilfred, K., (1999), *Maxima and Minima with applications, practical optimization and duality*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.
  - [14] Zhong, W-X., (1992), *Duality System in Applied Mechanics and Optimal Control*, *Advances in Mech. And Mathem.*, 5.
  - [15] Mahmudov, E. N., (2011), *Approximation and Optimization of Discrete and Differential Inclusions*, Elsevier.
  - [16] Mahmudov, E. N., (2010), Duality in the problems of optimal control described by first order partial differential inclusions, *Optimization, A Journ. of Mathematical programming and operations research*, 59 (4), 589-599.
  - [17] Mahmudov, E. N., (1987), Duality in optimal control problems of optimal control described by convex discrete and differential inclusions with delay, *Automat Remote Control*, 48 (2), 13-15.
  - [18] Mahmudov, E. N., (2006), Necessary and sufficient conditions for discrete and differential inclusions of elliptic type, *J.Mat.Appl.*, 323, 768-789.
  - [19] Mahmudov, E. N., (2007), Locally adjoint mappings and optimization of the first boundary value problems for hyperbolic type discrete and differential inclusions, *Nonlin.Anal.: Theory, Methods & Appl.*, 67, 2966-2981.
  - [20] Mahmudov, E. N., (1987), On duality in problems of theory of convex difference inclusions with Aftereffect, *Different. Equat.*, 146 (8), 1315-1324.
  - [21] Mikhailov, V. P., (1978) *Partial Differential Equations*, Nauka, Moscow; (1976), English transl., MIR, Moscow.
- 
- 



**Elimhan N. Mahmudov** defended his Ph.D. thesis in 1980 in Ukraine on the recommendation of the Physical-Mathematical Doctoral Committee of the Taras Shevchenko's Kiev State University. Since 1992 he became a Doctor of Physical- Mathematical Sciences. Both Ph.D and Doctor of Sciences Diplomas are given by Moscow. Since that time he is a member of the American Mathematical Society. Prof. Mahmudov has numerous research papers devoted to Convex Analysis, Approximation Theory, Optimal Control Theory and Dual problems, Mathematical Economy, which have been published in the SCI journals with high levels in the USSR, USA and the European countries. He was always invited for lectures on the problems of Optimal Control at the International Conferences in United Kingdom, France, Switzerland, Germany, Poland, Russia, Turkey, etc. and in the World Mathematical Banach Center in Warsaw, Poland. He is an Editor of the journal *Advances in Pure Mathematics (APM)*. Professor E. N. Mahmudov currently works in Istanbul Technical University.

---

---