

## ON BIVARIATE CREDIBILITY ESTIMATOR WITH GLM THEORY

A. DJEBAR<sup>1</sup>, H. ZEGHDOUDI<sup>1</sup>, §

**ABSTRACT.** Credibility theory is one of the cornerstones of actuarial science as applied to casualty and property insurance, based on the concept of limiting the estimator of individual premium to the class of estimators that are linear with respect to all observations of the portfolio. This work deals with the bivariate data (number and amounts of claims of the contracts), we give the bivariate credibility estimator using exponential families and GLM theory. Just like in the case of classical credibility model we will obtain a credible solution in the form of a linear combination of the individual estimate and the collective estimate. And we add the proprieties on exact Bayes premium.

**Keywords:** generalized linear models, credibility theory, Bayes probability, exact credibility.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 83-02, 99A00.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Credibility theory is one of the cornerstones of actuarial science as applied to casualty and property insurance, based on the concept of limiting the estimator of individual premium to the class of estimators that are linear with respect to all observations of the portfolio. ([3],[1]). It is a technique for pricing insurance coverages that is widely used by health, group term life, and property and casualty actuaries. In this work, we give the bivariate credibility estimator using exponential families and GLM theory. Just like in the case of the classical credibility model, we will obtain a credibility solution in the form of a linear combination of the individual estimate and the collective estimate. Our first section is the preliminaries of theory of generalized linear models (GLM), after the hypotheses we get us the credibility estimator[10]. Second section is main results, we give the bivariate credibility estimator with GLM theory. In the third section, the exponential family is presented for give the theorem of exact Bayes probability. Finally, a conclusion is given.

---

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Badji Moukhtar Annaba University, Algeria.  
e-mail: ahlememath@yahoo.fr; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0071-4236>.  
e-mail: hzeghdoudi@yahoo.fr; ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4759-5529>.

§ Manuscript received: April 09, 2020; accepted: June 02, 2020.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.12, No.2 © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2022; all rights reserved.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We consider an homogeneous insurance portfolio consisting of  $n \geq 2$  contracts. Now we have for each contract  $i, i = 1, \dots, n$  the set of random variable:

$$(\Theta_i, Z_i^\tau) = (\Theta_i, (Z_{i,s}^\tau)_{s=1,\dots,S}), \quad (1)$$

$i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $(Z_{i,s}^\tau)_{s=1,\dots,S}$  are no negative. For example,  $Z_{i,s}^\tau$  can be interpreted as the amount or the number of claims of the contract  $i$  in the period  $s$ . The random variable of the whole model are denoted by

$$(Z^\tau) = (Z_i^\tau)_{i=1,\dots,n}. \quad (2)$$

We make the following model assumptions :

- (B1) The random structure variables  $(\Theta_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$  have the identical distribution  $\text{Pr}_{\Theta}$ .
- (B2) The families of random variables  $(\Theta_i, Z_i^\tau), i = 1, \dots, n$ , are mutually non-correlated with  $Z_{i,s}^\tau \in L^2$  for all  $s = 1, \dots, S, i = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (B3) For fixed  $i$  and conditioned by  $\Theta_i$ , the random variable  $(Z_{i,s}^\tau)_{s=1,\dots,S}$  are mutually non-correlated with the first and second conditional moments depending only on  $\Theta_i$  :

$$E[Z_{i,s}^\tau | \Theta_i] := \mu^\tau(\Theta_i), \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Var}[Z_{i,s}^\tau | \Theta_i] := \alpha^\tau(\Theta_i). \quad (4)$$

We suppose that  $\mu^\tau(\Theta_i)$  are strictly crescent en  $\Theta_i$  and For non-conditional moments we use the following notations :

$$E[\mu^\tau(\Theta_i)] := M^\tau$$

$$E[\alpha^\tau(\Theta_i)] := A^\tau$$

$$\text{Var}[\mu^\tau(\Theta_i)] := B^\tau. \quad (5)$$

We suppose that  $Z_{i,s}^\tau | \Theta_i$  is distributed according to an exponential family :

$$\text{Pr}_{Z_{i,s}^\tau | \Theta_i} \rightsquigarrow \exp\left\{\frac{Z_{i,s}^\tau \Theta_i - b(\Theta_i)}{Q} + c(Z_{i,s}^\tau, Q)\right\}.$$

where  $b(\cdot)$  and  $c(\cdot)$  are known functions,  $\Theta_i$  is the natural and  $Q$  the scale parameter. Assume that  $\Theta_i$  has a prior density which is the so-called natural conjugate prior, Then :

$$\text{Pr}_{\Theta} \rightsquigarrow \exp\{a_1 \Theta_i - a_2 b(\Theta_i)\}$$

where Lebesgue measure is the base measure on  $[0, \infty)$  and  $\Theta_i \geq 0, a_1 < 0$ . So, the posterior distribution of the  $\Theta_i | Z_{i,s}^\tau$  is :

$$\text{Pr}_{\Theta_i | Z_{i,s}^\tau} \propto \prod_s \text{Pr}_{Z_{i,s}^\tau | \Theta} \cdot \text{Pr}_{\Theta}$$

log-likelihood of  $\text{Pr}_{\Theta_i | Z_{i,s}^\tau} = h_i$  as :

$$h_i = \sum_s \left( \frac{Z_{i,s}^\tau \Theta_i - b(\Theta_i)}{Q} \right) + c(Z_{i,s}^\tau, Q) + a_1 \Theta_i - a_2 b(\Theta_i).$$

log-likelihood of  $\text{Pr}_{\Theta | Z^\tau} = h$  as :

$$h = \sum_i \sum_s \left( \frac{Z_{i,s}^\tau \Theta_i - b(\Theta_i)}{Q} \right) + c(Z_{i,s}^\tau, Q) + a_1 \Theta_i - a_2 b(\Theta_i).$$

we want to estimate  $\widehat{\mu^\tau}(\Theta_i)$ , we have :

$$\frac{\partial b(\Theta_i)}{\partial \Theta_i} = \mu^\tau(\Theta_i) \frac{\partial h}{\partial \Theta_i} = \sum_s \left( \frac{Z_{i,s}^\tau - \mu^\tau(\Theta_i)}{Q} \right) + a_1 - a_2 \mu^\tau(\Theta_i),$$

we have  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial \Theta_i} = 0$ . So,

$$\sum_s Z_{i,s}^\tau - S \widehat{\mu^\tau}(\Theta_i) + Q a_1 - Q a_2 \widehat{\mu^\tau}(\Theta_i) = 0 \implies \widehat{\mu^\tau}(\Theta_i) = \xi \bar{Z}_i + (1 - \xi) M.$$

Where :  $\bar{Z}_i = \frac{1}{S} \sum_s Z_{i,s}^\tau$  and  $\xi = \frac{S}{S+Qa_2}$ ,  $M = a_1/a_2$ .

Now, the density of  $\Theta_i$  is proportional :

$$e^{\{a_1 \Theta_i - a_2 b(\Theta_i)\}}.$$

$$\frac{\partial e^{\{a_1 \Theta_i - a_2 b(\Theta_i)\}}}{\partial \Theta_i} = (a_1 - a_2 \mu^\tau(\Theta_i)) e^{\{a_1 \Theta_i - a_2 b(\Theta_i)\}} \tag{6}$$

Integrating over the natural range of  $\Theta_i$  and assuming  $e^{\{a_1 \Theta_i - a_2 b(\Theta_i)\}}$  is zero at the end points, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 - a_2 E(\mu^\tau(\Theta_i)) &= 0 \\ M &= \frac{a_1}{a_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

### 3. BIVARIATE CREDIBILITY AND GLM THEORY

Let  $(Z_i^{(1)}, Z_i^{(2)})$  variables can be interpreted as respectively the amount and the number of claims of the contract i and  $(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)$  structure variables we have,  $\tau = 1, 2$  :

$$E[Z_{i,s}^\tau | \theta_i^1, \theta_i^2] := \mu^\tau(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2), \tag{8}$$

$$Var[Z_{i,s}^\tau | \theta_i^1, \theta_i^2] := \alpha^\tau(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2), \tag{9}$$

$$Cov(Z_{i,s}^\tau, Z_{i,s}^{3-\tau} | \theta_i^1, \theta_i^2) := \kappa(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2). \tag{10}$$

For non-conditional moments we use the following notations:

$$E[\mu^\tau(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)] := M^\tau \tag{11}$$

$$E[\alpha^\tau(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)] := A^\tau \tag{12}$$

$$Var[\mu^\tau(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)] := B^\tau \tag{13}$$

$$E[\kappa(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)] := K \tag{14}$$

$$Cov[\mu^\tau(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2), \mu^{3-\tau}(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)] := L. \tag{15}$$

we suppose that  $Z_{i,s}^\tau, Z_{i,s}^{3-\tau} | \theta_i^1, \theta_i^2$  is distributed according to an exponential family :

$$P_{Z_{i,s}^\tau, Z_{i,s}^{3-\tau} | \theta_i^1, \theta_i^2} \rightsquigarrow \exp\left\{ \frac{Z_{i,s}^\tau \theta_i^1 + Z_{i,s}^{3-\tau} \theta_i^2 - b(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)}{Q} + c(Z_{i,s}^\tau, Z_{i,s}^{3-\tau}, Q) \right\}.$$

Assume that  $\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2$  has a prior density which is the so-called natural conjugate prior,

$$\Pr_{\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2} \rightsquigarrow \exp\{a_1 \theta_i^1 + a_2 \theta_i^2 - b(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)\}.$$

So, the posterior distribution of the  $\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2 | Z_{i,s}^\tau, Z_{i,s}^{3-\tau}$  is :

$$\Pr_{\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2 | Z_{i,s}^\tau, Z_{i,s}^{3-\tau}} \propto \prod_s \Pr_{Z_{i,s}^\tau, Z_{i,s}^{3-\tau} | \theta_i^1, \theta_i^2} \cdot \Pr_{\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2}.$$

log-likelihood of  $\Pr_{\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2} | Z_{i,s}^\tau, Z_{i,s}^{3-\tau} = h_i$  as :

$$h_i = \sum_s \left( \frac{Z_{i,s}^\tau \theta_i^1 + Z_{i,s}^{3-\tau} \theta_i^2 - b(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)}{Q} + c(Z_{i,s}^\tau, Z_{i,s}^{3-\tau}, Q) \right) + a_1 \theta_i^1 + a_2 \theta_i^2 - b(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2).$$

log-likelihood of  $\Pr_{\theta^1, \theta^2} | Z^\tau, Z^{3-\tau} = h$  as :

$$h = \sum_{i,s} \left( \frac{Z_{i,s}^\tau \theta_i^1 + Z_{i,s}^{3-\tau} \theta_i^2 - b(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)}{Q} + c(Z_{i,s}^\tau, Z_{i,s}^{3-\tau}, Q) \right) + a_1 \theta_i^1 + a_2 \theta_i^2 - b(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2).$$

we want to estimate  $\widehat{\mu}^{(1)}(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2), \widehat{\mu}^{(2)}(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)$ , we have :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial b(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)}{\partial \theta_i^\tau} &= \mu^\tau(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2) \\ \frac{\partial^2 b(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)}{\partial^2 \theta_i^\tau} Q &= \alpha^\tau(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2) \\ \frac{\partial^2 b(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)}{\partial \theta_i^\tau \partial \theta_i^{3-\tau}} Q &= \kappa(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

we have  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial \Theta_i} = 0$ . So,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta_i^1} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta_i^2} = 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_s Z_{i,s}^\tau - S \widehat{\mu}^\tau(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2) + Q a_1 - Q \widehat{\mu}^\tau(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2) = 0 \\ \sum_s Z_{i,s}^{3-\tau} - S \widehat{\mu}^{3-\tau}(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2) + Q a_2 - Q \widehat{\mu}^{3-\tau}(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2) = 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\mu}^{(1)}(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2) \\ \widehat{\mu}^{(2)}(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{S}{S+Q} \sum_s Z_{i,s}^{(1)} + (1 - \frac{S}{S+Q}) a_1 \\ \frac{S}{S+Q} \sum_s Z_{i,s}^{(2)} + (1 - \frac{S}{S+Q}) a_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, the density of  $\Theta_i$  is proportional, equal to :

$$e^{\{a_1 \theta_i^1 + a_2 \theta_i^2 - b(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)\}}.$$

We derive, we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial e^{\{a_1 \theta_i^1 + a_2 \theta_i^2 - b(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)\}}}{\partial \theta_i^1} &= (a_1 - \mu^{(1)}(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)) e^{\{a_1 \theta_i^1 + a_2 \theta_i^2 - b(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)\}} - \\ \frac{\partial e^{\{a_1 \theta_i^1 + a_2 \theta_i^2 - b(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)\}}}{\partial \theta_i^2} &= (a_2 - \mu^{(2)}(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)) e^{\{a_1 \theta_i^1 + a_2 \theta_i^2 - b(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Integrating over the natural range of  $\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2$  and assuming  $e^{a_1 \theta_i^1 + a_2 \theta_i^2 - b(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)}$  is zero at the end points, we have

$$a_1 - E(\mu^{(1)}(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)) = 0 \quad (18)$$

$$M^1 = a_1 \quad (19)$$

$$a_2 - E(\mu^{(2)}(\theta_i^1, \theta_i^2)) = 0 \quad (20)$$

$$M^2 = a_2. \quad (21)$$

4. SOME RESULTS BASED ON EXPONENTIAL FAMILY

Exponential families presented here are also the basis of the theory of generalized linear models (GLM).

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a probability on a open convex set  $C$  in  $R^d$  (more generally in a vector space  $E$ . locally convex, which the dual is  $E'$ ).

(1) We define  $D'$  as the dual convex set defined by :

$$D' := \left\{ v \in R^d \mid \int e^{uv} \mathbb{P}(du) < +\infty \right\}^0. \tag{22}$$

where  $(A)^0$  means the interior of the set  $A$ . We assume that

$$D' \neq \emptyset. \tag{23}$$

The dual domain  $D'$  is the convex set on which the cumulant function  $\psi : C' \rightarrow R$  is defined as

$$\psi(v) := \ln \int e^{uv} \mathbb{P}(du). \tag{24}$$

(2) Let  $\chi : D \rightarrow D'$  be a continuous function defined on an open set  $D$  with values in  $D'$ .  $\chi$  is called the link function.

Thus, we can define the exponential family of  $\mathbb{P}$  under  $\chi$  as the set  $\mathfrak{P}$  of probabilities  $\mathbb{P}_t$  absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$ :

$$\mathfrak{P} := \left\{ \mathbb{P}_t(du) := \exp \{ u\chi(t) - \psi(\chi(t)) \} \mathbb{P}(u) \mid t \in D \right\}. \tag{25}$$

(3) Now let  $\mathfrak{Q}$  be a probability on  $D$  satisfying

$$\int \exp \left\{ \frac{u\chi(t) - \psi(\chi(t))}{\eta} \right\} \mathfrak{Q}(dt) < +\infty. \tag{26}$$

(4) The condition (26) allows us to define the dispersed cumulate function  $\varphi(u, \eta)$  of  $\mathfrak{Q}$  on the space  $C \times (0, \infty)$  as:

$$\varphi(u, \eta) := \ln \int \exp \left\{ \frac{u\chi(t) - \psi(\chi(t))}{\eta} \right\} \mathfrak{Q}(dt). \tag{27}$$

(5) As the dual exponential family with respect to  $\mathfrak{P}$  we define the exponential family  $\mathcal{Q}$  as:

$$\mathcal{Q} = \left\{ \mathfrak{Q}_{u,\eta}(dt) := \exp \left\{ \frac{u\chi(t) - \psi(\chi(t))}{\eta} - \varphi(u, \eta) \right\} \mathfrak{Q}(dt) \mid (u, \eta) \in C \times (0, \infty) \right\}. \tag{28}$$

**Definition 4.2.** The family  $\mathbf{B}$  of exact Bayes probabilities is given by:

$$\mathbf{B} := \left\{ \mathbb{B}_{\hat{u}_0, \eta, I} \left( \prod_{i \in I} du_i \right) := \int \mathfrak{Q}_{\hat{u}_0, \eta}(dt) \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{P}_t(du_i) \mid \hat{u}_0 \in C, \eta > 0, I \supset N, I \text{ is finite} \right\}. \tag{29}$$

**Theorem 4.1.** The family  $\mathbf{B}$  of exact probability of Bayes is closed under the conditions ( $|u_j = \hat{u}_j, j \in J$ ) for finite subsets  $j \subseteq J$ , i.e. for  $\prod_{j \in J} \mathbb{P}_t(du_j)$   $p$ -s. for all  $(\hat{u}_j)_j \in J$

$$\mathbb{B}_{\hat{u}_0, \eta, I} \left( \prod_{i \in I} du_i \mid u_j = \hat{u}_j, j \in J \right) = \mathbb{B}_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{\eta}, I \setminus J} \left( \prod_{i \in I \setminus J} du_i \right) \prod_{i \in J} \delta_{\hat{u}_j}(du_i). \tag{30}$$

Where  $\tilde{\eta} = [1 \ \eta + |J|]^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{u} = \tilde{\eta} \left( \frac{\hat{u}_0}{\eta} + \sum_{j \in J} \hat{u}_j \right)$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{B}_{\hat{u}_0, \eta, l}(\prod_{i \in I} du_i | u_j = \hat{u}_j, j \in J) &= \frac{\mathbb{B}_{\hat{u}_0, \eta, l}(\prod_{j \in J} (du_j \cap \{\hat{u}_j\}) \times \prod_{i \in I \setminus J} du_i)}{\mathbb{B}_{\hat{u}_0, \eta, l}(\prod_{j \in J} \{\hat{u}_j\})} \\
 &= \frac{\int \mathfrak{Q}_{\hat{u}_0, \eta}(dt) \prod_{j \in J} \exp\{u_j \cdot \chi(t) - \psi(\chi(t))\} \delta_{\hat{u}_j}(du_j) \times \prod_{i \in I \setminus J} \mathbb{P}_t(du_i)}{\int \mathfrak{Q}_{\hat{u}_0, \eta}(dt) \prod_{j \in J} \exp\{\hat{u}_j \cdot \chi(t) - \psi(\chi(t))\}} \\
 &= \frac{\int \mathfrak{Q}(dt) \exp\{(\hat{u}_0/\eta + \sum_{j \in J} \hat{u}_j) \cdot \chi(t) - \psi(\chi(t))/\tilde{\eta} - \varphi(\hat{u}_0, \eta)\} \times \prod_{i \in I \setminus J} \mathbb{P}_t(du_i)}{\int \mathfrak{Q}(dt) \exp\{(\hat{u}_0/\eta + \sum_{j \in J} \hat{u}_j) \cdot \chi(t) - \psi(\chi(t))/\tilde{\eta} - \varphi(\hat{u}_0, \eta)\}} \times \\
 &\hspace{20em} \prod_{j \in J} \delta_{\hat{u}_j}(du_j) \\
 &= \frac{\int \mathfrak{Q}(dt) \exp\{(\tilde{u} \cdot \chi(t) - \Psi(\chi(t)))/\tilde{\eta}\} \times \prod_{i \in I \setminus J} \mathbb{P}_t(du_i)}{\int \mathfrak{Q}(dt) \exp\{(\tilde{u} \cdot \chi(t) - \Psi(\chi(t)))/\tilde{\eta}\}} \prod_{j \in J} \delta_{\hat{u}_j}(du_j) \\
 &= \int \mathfrak{Q}(dt) \exp\{(\tilde{u} \cdot \chi(t) - \Psi(\chi(t)))/\tilde{\eta} - \varphi(\tilde{u}, \tilde{\eta})\} \times \prod_{i \in I \setminus J} \mathbb{P}_t(du_i) \prod_{j \in J} \delta_{\hat{u}_j}(du_j) \\
 &\hspace{10em} = \int \mathfrak{Q}_{\tilde{u}, \tilde{\eta}}(dt) \prod_{i \in I \setminus J} \mathbb{P}_t(du_i) \prod_{j \in J} \delta_{\hat{u}_j}(du_j) \\
 &\hspace{10em} = \mathbb{B}_{\hat{u}, \eta, l \setminus J}(\prod_{i \in I \setminus J} du_i) \prod_{i \in I} \delta_{\hat{u}_j}(du_j).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 4.2.** *If for every  $u_0 \in C, \eta > 0$  we have:*

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{\hat{u}_0, \eta}(dt) \mathbb{P}_t(d\tilde{u}) \prod_{i \in I} (du_i) := \mathbb{B}(dt, d\tilde{u} \times \prod_{i \in I} du_i). \tag{31}$$

Where

$$E_{\mathbb{B}}(dt, d\tilde{u} \times \prod_{i \in I} du_i | u_i = \hat{u}_i, i \in I) \tag{32}$$

*is not linear in  $\hat{u}_i$*

*The solution of the problem is :*

$$u^* = (1 - \zeta)m + \zeta \sum_i u_i .$$

Where

$$\zeta := \frac{Ivar(E(u_i | \chi(t)))}{E(var(u_i | \chi(t))) + Ivar(E(u_i | \chi(t)))}. \tag{33}$$

And

$$m = E(u_i) \tag{34}$$

*Proof.* Obviously, the credibility problem

$$\Gamma := \underset{\beta_0, \beta_{1i}, i \in I}{Min} \{E_{\mathbb{B}(dt, d\tilde{u} \times \prod_{i \in I} du_i | u_i = \hat{u}_i, i \in I)}[(\tilde{u} - \beta_0 - \sum_i \beta_{1i} \hat{u}_i)^2]\}. \tag{35}$$

can be separated. As necessary conditions of optimality, we have for  $i$

$$0 = \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \beta_0} \tag{36}$$

$$0 = m - \beta_0 - m \Sigma_i \beta_{1i} \tag{37}$$

$$\beta_0 = m - m \Sigma_i \beta_{1i} \tag{38}$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \beta_{1i'}} \tag{39}$$

$$= E(\hat{u}_{i'}(\tilde{u} - \beta_0 - \Sigma_i \beta_{1i} \hat{u}_i)) \tag{40}$$

$$cov(\tilde{u}, \hat{u}_i) = \Sigma_i \beta_{1i} cov(\hat{u}_i, \hat{u}_{i'}). \tag{41}$$

After some easy calculations (not presented here) show that :

$$\beta_{1i} = \frac{var(E(\hat{u}_i|\chi(t)))}{E(var(\hat{u}_i|\chi(t))) + Ivar(E(\hat{u}_i|\chi(t)))} \tag{42}$$

$$\beta_0 = (1 - \zeta)m. \tag{43}$$

□

**Remark 4.1.** In standard notation of exponential family of  $Z_i|\Theta$  we noted by  $u_i$  and  $v$  as canonical parameter.

The  $\psi(v)$  represents  $b(\Theta)$ , where  $(b')^{-1}$  represented by the continuous function of an open set  $D$  in  $C'$  is : So,  $\rho$  is the distribution of  $Z_i|\Theta$

$$E(u) = \psi(\chi(t))' \tag{44}$$

$$Var(u) = \psi(\chi(t))'' \tag{45}$$

We derive the credibility formula for exponential families of distributions. Denote the data by  $Z_i|\Theta_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , The assumptions of the model of Bühlmann (1967) are :

- The risks,  $\Theta_i$  are independently, identically distributed.
- $Z_i|\Theta_i$  are independently, identically distributed.

let  $Z_i|\Theta_i \approx u_i$  So

$$E[Z_i|\Theta_i] = \nabla \psi(\chi(t)). \tag{46}$$

The canonical parameter of  $Z_i|\Theta_i$  do not depend  $j$ , So :

$$\chi(t)' = \chi(\nabla \psi(\chi(t))). \tag{47}$$

### 5. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this paper gives the bivariate credibility estimator using exponentials families and GLM theory. We obtain a credibility solution in the form of a linear combination of the individual estimate and the collective estimate. The first section of this paper are the preliminaries of theory of generalized linear models (GLM), and the credibility estimator. Second and third sections is main results, we give the bivariate credibility estimator with GLM theory, and the exponential family is presented for give the theorem of exact Bayes probability. Thus, the future prospects are at first Quadratic Bivariate Credibility, it's generalization of this work. Next, we work with the robust credibility (Kremer's Robust Regression Model).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Buhlmann, H., (1967), Experience rating and credibility, *ASTIN Bulletin*, 4.
- [2] Buhlmann, H., Gisler, A., (2005), *A Course in Credibility Theory and its Applications*. Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, New York.
- [3] Frees, W., (2003), Multivariate credibility for aggregate loss models, *North American Actuarial Journal*, 7.
- [4] Goulet, V., Forgues, A., Lu, J., (2005), Credibility for severity revisited, *North American Actuarial Journal*, 10.
- [5] Hachemeister, C. A., (1975), Credibility for regression models with application to trend, the *Berkeley Actuarial Research Conference on Credibility*, pp 129-163.
- [6] Herzog, T. N., (1999), *Introduction to credibility theory*, Actex Publ, 3.
- [7] Kaas, R., Goovaerts, M., Dhaene, J., Denuit, M., (2008), *Modern Actuarial Risk Theory*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- [8] Xacur, O. A. Q., Garrido, J., (2018), Bayesian Credibility for GLMs, *Electronic J. Stat. Ap.*
- [9] Vylder, F. E., (1985), Non-linear regression in credibility theory, *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, 4.
- [10] Nelder, J. A., Verall, R. J., (1997), Credibility theory and generalized linear models, *ASTIN Bulletin*, 27, pp. 71-82.



**Ahlem Djebar** is a faculty member in the Department of Mathematics at The University of Badji-Mokhtar, Annaba-Algeria. She received her Ph.D. degree in Mathematics from Badji-Mokhtar University, Annaba-Algeria. Her research areas are in Applied Statistics and Actuarial Science.



**Halim Zeghdoudi** is a faculty member in the Department of Mathematics at The University of Badji-Mokhtar, Annaba-Algeria. He received his Ph.D. degree in mathematics and the highest academic degree (HDR) specializing Probability and Statistics from Badji-Mokhtar University, Annaba-Algeria. He also did his Post Doc at Waterford Institute of Technology- Cork Rd, Waterford, Ireland. Now, he is the head of LaPS laboratory. His research areas are in Actuarial Science, Distribution theory, Particles Systems, Dynamics Systems, and Applied Statistics.

---

---